ARCTIC NETWORKS AND DATA REPORTING

Henrik Skov Professor











ICLIMATE INTERDISCIPLINARY CENTRE FOR CLIMATE CHANGE



INTERACT PRESENTATION 24-28 SEPTEMBER 2018

VILLUM RESEARCH STATION, STATION NORD, GREENLAND 81° 36'N 16°40' W











NETWORKS

REPORTING DATA

AMAP; Arctic Monitoring and Assessment program

EMEP; European Monitoring and Evaluation Program

WMO- GAW; global atmospheric watch

ICOS; International carbon observatory system

ACTRIS; Aerosols, Clouds and Trace gases (Observatory status)

ERA PLANET (IGOSP - Integrated Global Observing Systems for Persistent Pollutants and ICUPE - Integrative and Comprehensive Understanding on Polar Environments)

COPERNICUS CAMS 84

Interact

Professional networks

UARCTIC, IASOA, IASC, PEEX, GMOS; SMEAR, VRS user group

"Internal" networks

ARC, iCLIMATE, ASP, MOSAiC

INTERACT PRESENTATION

24-28 SEPTEMBER 2018



NETWORK CHALLENGES

Each network often want their format of the data (exception AMAP, EMEP and WMO-GAW) => labour intensive

It is difficult to know which one is the important ones and in any case it takes time You might be loose a lot of time if choosing wrongly



BENEFITS

Be together with people with low for these remote and tuff but also incredible beautiful places

Collaboration not competitors (Terry)

Awareness of what is going on

Visibility

Joint application

Joint publications

Establishment of bilateral collaboration

Ensuring the quality

Storage of results for future scientists (Open source policy => more publications)

Educating new generation of scientists

INTERACT does a lot of the above

ARTICLES FR

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 8101-8128, 2017 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-8101-2017 © Author(s) 2017. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Atmos. Cher www.atmos

Wildfin

the Arc

N. Evangeli

H. Skov⁸

S. P. Urban

1CEA-UVS

Institut Pierr

²Norwegian

3Missoula F

Missoula, M

⁴Departmen

5Cooperative

6NOAA Ear

Boulder, Col

⁷Climate Re

8Department

Received: 9

Revised: 5 N

Abstract. In

to the Arctic

ing rapidly.

jor compone

diative balar

vecetation fi

period of 20

Arctic. For:

northern Fur

estimates de

Emissions D

- northern E

tions and bu

olution Imag

(National Ac

Aqua satellit

from the M and Climate sion inventory

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11915-11935, 2016 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/11915/2016/ doi:10.5194/acp-16-11915-2016





ry concentrations observed bally distributed in the fram

ne², Mariantonia Bencardino¹, Francesco D'An narino1, Matthew Landis3, Ralf Ebinghaus4, An abuschagne⁵, Lynwill Martin⁵, John Munthe⁶, 1 lelo Jorge Barbosa7, Joel Brito7, Warren Cairns icia Elizabeth Garcia 10, Aurélien Dommergue 11 3, Milena Horvat14, Jože Kotnik14, Katie Alana Sena¹⁷, Nikolay Mashyanoy ¹⁸, Vladimir Obolk h Ramachandran²², Daniel Cossa²³, Joël Knoer



rch Inst. Ltd., Göteborg, Sweden

enice. Italy Environmental Processes, Venice, Italy

Bariloche, Argentina physique de l'Environnement, University Grenoble physique de l'Environnement, CNRS, Grenoble, Fr nce, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

São Vicente, Cabo Verde

Petersburg, Russia kutsk. Russia of Suriname, Paramaribo, Suriname v Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry. ang, China Anna University, Chennai, India ranée, La Sevne-sur-Mer, France . Nantes, France v. Gothenburg, Sweden

ieri (f.sprovieri@iia.cnr.it)

Received: 31 May 2016 - Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 7 June 2016 Revised: 30 August 2016 - Accepted: 1 September 2016 - Published: 23 September 20

Pan-Arctic aerosol number size distributions: seasonality and transport patterns

Eyal Freud¹, Radovan Krejci¹, Peter Tunved¹, Richard Leaitch², Quynh T. Nguyen³, Andreas Massling⁴, Henrik Skoy4, and Leonard Barrie

¹Department of Environmental Science and Analytical Chemistry & Bolin Centre of Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm 10691, Sweden

²Climate Research Division, Environment and Climate Change, Toronto, Canada Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Aarhus 8200, Denmark

⁴Arctic Research Centre, Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Roskilde 4000, Denmark

⁵Department of Geological Sciences & Bolin Centre of Climate Research, Stockholm University, Stockholm 10691, Sweden

Correspondence to: Eval Freud (eva

Revised: 10 May 2017 - Accepted: 2 May 2017 to global climatic change. It is sensitive to human activities that mostly take place elsewhere.

set of observed aerosol number s eter range of 10 to 500 nm from Ocean (Alert, Villum Research pelin, Tiksi and Barrow) was asse A cluster analysis of the aerosol number size distribu-

tions revealed four distinct distributions. Together with Lagrangian air parcel back-trajectories, they were used to link the observed aerosol number size distributions with a variety of transport regimes. This analysis yields insight into aerosol dynamics, transport and removal processes, on both an intraand an inter-monthly scale. For instance, the relative occurrence of aerosol number size distributions that indicate new particle formation (NPF) event is near zero during the dark months, increases gradually to ~40 % from spring to summer, and then collapses in autumn. Also, the likelihood of Arctic haze aerosols is minimal in summer and peaks in April at all sites.

The residence time of accumulation-mode particles in the Arctic troposphere is typically long enough to allow tracking them back to their source regions. Air flow that passes at low altitude over central Siberia and western Russia is associated with relatively high concentrations of accumulationmode particles (N_{acc}) at all five sites – often above 150 cm⁻³.

shown to be important on a regional scale and it is most active in summer. Cloud processing is an additional factor that enhances the Nacc annual cycle.

There are some consistent differences between the sites that are beyond the year-to-year variability. They are the result of differences in the proximity to the aerosol source regions and to the Arctic Ocean sea-ice edge, as well as in the exposure to free-tropospheric air and in precipitation patterns - to mention a few. Hence, for most purposes, aerosol observations from a single Arctic site cannot represent the entire Arctic region. Therefore, the results presented here are a powerful observational benchmark for evaluation of detailed climate and air chemistry modelling studies of aerosols throughout the vast Arctic region.

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

85 % (79-91 %) to the BC deposit During the 12-year period, an average area of BB sources in the Northern Hemi 250 000 km2 yr-1 was burned in northern Eurasia (FEI-

Atmospheric 9

Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 10735-10763, 2016 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/10735/2016/ doi:10.5194/acp-16-10735-2016 @ Author(s) 2016. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Chemical cycling and deposition of atmospheric mercury in polar regions: review of recent measurements and comparison with models

Hélène Angot¹, Ashu Dastoor², Francesco De Simone³, Katarina Gårdfeldt⁴, Christian N. Gencarelli³. Ian M. Hedgecock³, Sarka Langer⁵, Olivier Magand^{6,1}, Michelle N. Mastromonaco⁴, Claus Nordstrøm⁷ Katrine A. Pfaffhuber⁸, Nicola Pirrone⁹, Andrei Rvikov², Noelle E. Selin ^{10,11}, Henrik Skov⁷, Shaojie Song ¹⁰, Francesca Sprovieri³, Alexandra Steffen¹², Kenjiro Tovota¹², Oleg Travnikov¹³, Xin Yang¹⁴, and Aurélien Dommergue^{1,6}

¹Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement (LGGE), 38041 Grenoble, France ²Air Quality Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Dorval, Québec H9P 1J3, Canada tte of Atmapheric Pollution Research, Division of Rende, UNICAL-Polifunzionale, 87036 Rende, Italy of Chem stry and Chemical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology 412 96 Göteborg, Sweden stal Research Institute, P.O. Box 530 21, 400 14 Göteborg, Sweden piologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement (LGGE), 38041 Grenoble, France esearch Institute, Frederiksborgvei 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), P.O. Box 100, 2027 Kieller, Norway ONR-Institute of Atmospheric Pollution Research, Area della Ricerca di Roma 1, Monterotondo, 00015 Rome, Italy ¹⁰Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA ¹¹Institute for Data, Systems, and Society, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA

¹² Air Quality Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, Ontario M3H 5T4, Canada ¹³Meteorological Synthesizing Centre, East of EMEP, 2nd Roshchinsky proezd, 8/5, 115419 Moscow, Russia ¹⁴British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK

Correspondence to: Aurélien Dommergue (aurelien.dommergue @univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

Received: 14 June 2016 - Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 16 June 2016 Revised: 12 August 2016 - Accepted: 17 August 2016 - Published: 30 August 2016

Abstract. Mercury (Hg) is a worldwide contaminant that can cause adverse health effects to wildlife and humans. While atmospheric modeling traces the link from emissions to deposition of Hg onto environmental surfaces, large uncertainties arise from our incomplete understanding of atmospheric processes (oxidation pathways, deposition, and re-emission), Atmospheric He reactivity is exacerbated in high latitudes and there is still much to be learned from polar regions in terms of atmospheric processes. This paper provides a synthesis of the atmospheric He monitoring data available in recent years (2011-2015) in the Arctic and in Antarctica along with a comparison of these observations with numerical simulations using four cutting-edge global models. The cycle of atmospheric Hg in the Arctic and in Antarctica presents both similarities and differences. Coastal sites in the two re-

gions are both influenced by springtime atmospheric Hg depletion events and by summertime snowpack re-emission and oceanic evasion of Hg. The cycle of atmospheric Hg differs between the two regions primarily because of their different geography. While Arctic sites are significantly influenced by northern hemispheric Hg emissions especially in winter, coastal Antarctic sites are significantly influenced by the reactivity observed on the East Antarctic ice sheet due to katabatic winds. Based on the comparison of multi-model simulations with observations, this paper discusses whether the processes that affect atmospheric Hg seasonality and interannual variability are appropriately represented in the models and identifies research gaps in our understanding of the atmospheric Hg cycling in high latitudes.

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envnol.2016.01.029

0269-3491/Crown Contribut to 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC RV-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-pc-nd/

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

- The Danish Environmental Protection Agency and Energy Agency financially supported this work with means from the MIKA/DANCEA funds for Environmental Support to the Arctic Region.
- Villum Foundation is acknowledged for the large grant making it possible to build the new research station at Station Nord
- ERA PLANET iGOSP and ICUPE is acknowledged for finansial support
- Interact
- Integrated Carbon Observation System (ICOS) a NUFL project,
- The Royal Danish Air Force is acknowledged for providing free transport to Station Nord, and the staff at Station Nord is especially acknowledged for excellent support
- Colleagues at AU-ENVS and AU-ARC
- Colleagues at other Danish and at international Research Institutions
- Christel Christoffersen, Bjarne Jensen Keld Mortensen for exellent technical suppo
- Last but not least PhD. and master students



